1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	KAMORRIE RANDLE,) Case No. CV 14-2611 DSF(JC)
11		
12 13	Petitioner,	ORDER (1) ACCEPTING FINDINGS,
14	v.) RECOMMENDATIONS OF) MAGISTRATE JUDGE; (2) DENYING DETITIONED'S DECUESTS FOR
15 16	R. GROUNDS,	APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL;) (3) GRANTING PETITIONER'S) REQUEST FOR STAY AND) DIRECTING PETITIONER TO FILE
17		PERIODIC STATUS REPORTS
18	Respondent.	
19	The Court has conducted the review required by 28 U.S.C. § 636, accepts	
20	the findings, conclusions and recommendation of the Magistrate Judge reflected in	
21	the September 28, 2018 Superseding Report and Recommendation ("Report and	
22	Recommendation"), overrules petitioner's objections to the Report and	
23	Recommendation, and hereby Orders.	
24	1. I entioner's requests for the appointment of counser are defined	
25	without prejudice.	
26	2. Tethioner's Request to Stay the Tethion for writ of Habeas Corpus	
2728	("Petition") is granted and the Petition and this action are stayed as described and	
۷۵	limited below.	

- 3. The Petition and this action are stayed for petitioner to exhaust only Grounds Three through Five in the Petition, which are currently unexhausted.
- 4. Petitioner shall file a status report detailing the status of the state court proceedings to exhaust his unexhausted claims beginning thirty (30) days from the date of this Order and every sixty (60) days thereafter. If petitioner has no new information regarding the status of petitioner's efforts to exhaust his state remedies in a given period because a matter remains pending in state court, petitioner is nonetheless ordered to serve and file a status report advising this Court of that fact.
- 5. Within thirty (30) days of any state decision granting petitioner habeas relief or, if none, within thirty (30) days of the California Supreme Court's decision relative to a state habeas petition containing Grounds Three through Five, petitioner shall file in this Court, a notice of such decision and attaching as an exhibit thereto, a copy of such decision.
- 6. The failure to meet any of the time requirements set out above shall result in an order vacating the stay, *nunc pro tunc*, may preclude consideration of the unexhausted claims, and may result in the dismissal of this action for lack of prosecution.
- 7. Nothing in this Order precludes respondent from raising procedural or other objections/defenses to the Petition or any claims contained therein.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: November 15, 2018

HONORABLE DALE S. FISCHER UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Wale S. Tischer